UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

\mathbf{H}	$\Delta \lambda$	4	Đ	т,	7	SS.

CANDELD I CE ADC	-, 05-30104-MAP
CHESTER J. SEARS, Plaintiff))
v.) COMPLAINT AND) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
MASSACHUSETTS TURNPIKE)
AUTHORITY, and)
CHESTER J. GDULA,)
Defendants)
)

- 1. The Plaintiff, Chester Sears, is an individual and natural person currently residing at the Holyoke Soldiers' Home, Cherry Street, Holyoke, Massachusetts 01040.
- 2. The Defendant, Massachusetts Turnpike Authority is a quasi-public entity which operates an maintains the 135 mile toll road from the New York border to Boston, as well as the Callahan-Sumner and Ted Williams Tunnels connecting Boston with East Boston. (Turnpike), with a business address located at the State Transportation Building, 10 Park Plaza, Suite 4160, Boston, Massachusetts 02116.
- 3. The Defendant, Massachusetts Turnpike Authority accepts service of process via its registered agent for service of process, Attorney General, Thomas F. Reilly, One Ashburton Place, 20th Floor, Boston, MA 02108.
- 4. The Defendant Chester J. Gdula is an individual and natural person currently residing at 42 Lower Terrace, Chicopee, Massachusetts (Gdula).
- 5. At all times material hereto, Gdula was the Authority's Manager of patron Services with supervisory authority over the Emergency Service Patrol. Prior to assuming this position in April 1997, Mr. Gdula was hired as the Assistant Manager of patron Services in 1990.
- 6. This Court has jurisdiction over the public and quasi-public employer and employee Defendants pursuant to M.G.L.A. c.258, §§ 1-8.

FACTS

7. The Plaintiff was first employed in January of 1989 with the Respondent Turnpike as a maintenance worker (union position).

- 8. Prior to the time referenced in paragraph seven (7) above, the Plaintiff had suffered from alcoholism and was actively voluntarily and personally involved with keeping this condition under control.
- 9. The Plaintiff's duties as a maintenance worker did include work at the Defendant Turnpike's Blandford location, which area services that particular geographic area of the Pike for plowing snow, cutting grass, cleaning up trash and debris, and other various maintenance activities to maintain the Turnpike in its appearance."
- 10. As a maintenance worker the Plaintiff's duties included inspecting equipment for safe and proper operation, repairing roadways and other structures and filing relevant reports.
- 11. During March of 1992 the Plaintiff was reclassified to the position of Emergency Service Patrol Person (ESP) (union position).
- 12. The emergency patrol is a group of men who patrol the [turnpike] road in specially equipped vehicles to provide assistance to motorists who break down on the turnpike.
- 13. Defendant Turnpike instructed Plaintiff that he was providing a service to get patrons on the way that were disabled and broken down on the Turnpike.
- 14. At all times material hereto, the Plaintiff was instructed that if he had any issues, he was to directly report to his direct supervisor, the Defendant Gdula.
- 15. On May 2, 1995, and during the time the Plaintiff was employed with the Defendant Turnpike as an emergency roadside patrol person, he was diagnosed as having contracted hepatitis C.
- 16. Aggressive medical treatments were then prescribed to treat the Plaintiff's condition.
- 17. The side effects of the prescribed medicines the Plaintiff was taking for his treatment, and specifically for the battle to save his life, specifically included interferon and its derivatives, which medicines became a significant and substantial influence on Mr. Sears's perceived inappropriate mod and behavior.
- 18. At all times material hereto, the Plaintiff duly and timely notified the Defendant Gdula that he was diagnosed with this medical condition.
- 19. At all times material hereto, the Plaintiff made notations on his required daily logs, frequently referencing his medical condition and related issues, which was the basis of his handicap.
- 20. Every truck is stocked with patrol log sheets, which are required to be filled out, signed and filed at the end of every shift. These logs would then be collected at he end of every week.
- 21. These log records date back to 1992.

- 22. Defendants did admit and acknowledge that they have seen personal information on logs.
- 23. Defendants did admit and acknowledge that they did know of employees communicating information to them through the logs.
- 24. Defendants were aware of Plaintiff's communicating to them through the logs.
- 25. Defendants did admit and acknowledge that they were aware that Plaintiff was on chemotherapy through his communications to them through the logs.
- 26. During 1998, Defendant Gdula did admit and acknowledge the Plaintiff did inform him that he had to inject himself with drugs.
- 27. In 1998 the Defendants' were aware of a letter from Dr. Clay who said that the Plaintiff might need time off from work because he was undergoing chemotherapy.
- 28. Plaintiff went out on medical leave of absence beginning April 25, 2001 for his medical conditions, including hepatitis C.
- 29. Plaintiff routinely requested that the Defendants accommodate his condition.
- 30. At all times material hereto, Defendants indicated that they would reasonably accommodate an employee suffering from a valid handicap.
- 31. Defendant Gdula admitted that Plaintiff requested to be put on night shifts due to the fact that since he was undergoing chemotherapy, he would want to work where it is a little quieter and not as busy."
- 32. The Defendant Turnpike also hires employees who clean the turnpike facilities. In particular, this group of men and women are assigned to various buildings, toll plazas, barracks, information centers, office buildings, and they clean the buildings through an assigned schedule.
- 33. The Defendant Turnpike also hires employees who plant flowers and trees, keep them maintained and watered. They put flowers in the interchanges, plant flowers on some hillsides, plant shrubs, and do much to attempt to maintain a beautification-type program of the Turnpike, which is a cross over from the maintenance department.
- 34. At a hearing held during September 2001 on the issue of the Plaintiff's employment status, the Plaintiff stated that his medications detrimentally affected him and that they would cause side effects affecting mood swings, anger, instability, dizziness and nausea.
- 35. Defendant admitted and acknowledged that they would have made a work accommodation for an employee who they knew had a medical condition that required it.
- 36. Defendant Turnpike fired the Plaintiff on October 9, 2001, citing Complainant's acts of inappropriate, rude, threatening and disruptive behavior while in the workplace.

37. At all times material hereto, the Defendant Turnpike operated under policy directives which included that in accordance with governing statutes and regulations that the Massachusetts Turnpike Authority demands of itself and its employees that all work related activities will be conducted with complete respect for the dignity of all employees. No personnel decision will directly or indirectly be based on consideration of an employee's disability.

COUNT I – HANDICAP DISCRIMINATION MASSACHUSETTS TURNPIKE AUTHORITY

- 38. Plaintiff reaffirms and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs one (1) through thirty-four (34) and incorporates the same herein.
- 39. The Plaintiff's medical history sufficiently established a record that he was handicapped within the meaning of the M.G.L.A. c.151B while employed by the respondent turnpike.
- 40. The Plaintiff's Hepatitis C condition constituted a valid handicap
- 41. M.G.L.A. c.151B protects qualified handicapped persons, such as the Plaintiff, who can perform the essential functions of the job, with or without reasonable accommodations.
- 42. At all times material hereto, the Plaintiff was handicapped within the meaning of the statute, being a person who had a physical or mental impairment, which substantially limited one or more life activities, that is supported by a record of such impairment
- 43. Plaintiff's job performance did not become a determinative issue in his employment with the Defendant Turnpike, except at such times on which he was suffering under the manifestations of the medications necessary to treat his medical condition.
- 44. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff duly notified the Defendants of his handicap and need for reasonable accommodations.
- 45. At all times material hereto, the Defendants were aware of the Plaintiff's handicap and were aware that the Complainant needed reasonable accommodations to perform his job.
- 46. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff required reasonable accommodations, due to his handicap, to perform his job.
- 47. At all times material hereto, the Defendants were aware of a means to reasonably accommodate the handicap, and they breached a duty to undertake reasonable investigation of a means to reasonably accommodate the handicap.
- 48. At all times material hereto, the Defendants failed to provide the Complainant with the necessary reasonable accommodations to which they had available for Plaintiff.

- 49. Defendant wrongfully and unlawfully discharged and fired Plaintiff due to his medical handicap, Hepatitis C, and the effects of the medications necessary to treat his medical condition.
- 50. As a result of the Defendant Turnpike's wrongful conduct, the Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer substantial and significant financial damages, including lost income and benefits.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Chester Sears, demands judgment on Count I against the Defendant, Massachusetts Turnpike Authority, for all damages, including costs, interest and attorney's fees and any other relief deem equitable and proper.

COUNT II – NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS MASSACHUSETTS TURNPIKE AUTHORITY

- 51. The Plaintiff realleges and reaffirms the allegations contained in paragraphs one through fifty (50), and incorporates the same herein by reference.
- 52. The Defendant Turnpike should have known that emotional distress was a likely result of its conduct directed against the Plaintiff.
- 53. The Authority's conduct was extreme and outrageous beyond all reasonable bounds of decency and was utterly intolerable in a civilized society.
- 54. The conduct of the Turnpike was the cause of the Plaintiff's emotional distress.
- 55. The emotional distress sustained by the Plaintiff due to the conduct the Turnpike was severe and of a nature that no reasonable man could be expected to endure.
- 56. As a result of the conduct of the Turnpike, the Plaintiff has suffered emotional distress and economic harm.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Chester Sears, demands judgment on Count II against the Defendant, Massachusetts Turnpike Authority, for all damages, including costs, interest and attorney's fees and any other relief deem equitable and proper.

COUNT III – HANDICAP DISCRIMINATION CHESTER J. GDULA

- 57. Plaintiff reaffirms and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs one (1) through fifty-six (56) and incorporates the same herein.
- 58. Defendant Gdula wrongfully and unlawfully participated in the discharge and firing of the Plaintiff due to his medical handicap, Hepatitis C, and the effects of the medications necessary to treat his medical condition.

59. As a result of the Defendant Gdula's wrongful conduct, the Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer substantial and significant financial damages, including lost income and benefits.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Chester Sears, demands judgment on Count III against the Defendant, Chester J. Gdula, for all damages, including costs, interest and attorney's fees and any other relief deem equitable and proper.

COUNT IV - INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS CHESTER J. GDULA

- 60. The Plaintiff realleges and reaffirms the allegations contained in paragraphs one through fifty-nine (59), and incorporates the same herein by reference.
- 61. The Defendant Gdula's conduct was intended to inflict and did inflict emotional distress and he should have known that emotional distress was a likely result of his conduct directed against the Plaintiff.
- 62. Gdula's conduct was extreme and outrageous beyond all reasonable bounds of decency and was utterly intolerable in a civilized society.
- 63. The conduct of Gdula was the cause of the Plaintiff's emotional distress.
- 64. The emotional distress sustained by the Plaintiff due to the conduct of Gdula was severe and of a nature that no reasonable man could be expected to endure.
- 65. As a result of the conduct of Gdula, the Plaintiff has suffered emotional distress and economic harm.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Chester Sears, demands judgment on Count IV against the Defendant, Chester J. Gdula, for all damages, including costs, interest and attorney's fees and any other relief deem equitable and proper.

Chester Sears

The Plaintiff,

Chester Sears

fallS 44 (Rev. 11 04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information comained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

L (a) PLAINTIFFS	ASTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE (OF THE FORM)	DEEC NO. 1200					
i (a) TEARTHES			DEFENDANTS	T15				
			MASS FUNNPIKE AUTHS Chester Quits County of Residence of First Listed Defendant					
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff		(5,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,0	GOULA				
	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		County of Residence					
ChesT	er T Sear	S	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE, THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name	. Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If Known)	and the state of t				
			05 - 3		- MAP			
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One	Box Only)	. CITIZENSHIP OF I	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	Place on "X" in One Box for Plaintif			
1 U.S. Government Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF Citizen of This State PTF DEF Citizen of This State PTF DEF OF Business In This State					
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of I	Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	1 2				
IV NATURE OF SHE	(Place an "X" in One Box Only)		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	1 3 G 3 Foreign Nation	O 6 O 3 >			
CONTRACT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER CTATHERS			
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suns ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY	SONER PETITIONS 10 Motions to Vacate	O 610 Agriculture ☐ 620 Other Food & Drug ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure ☐ 630 Liquer Laws ☐ 630 Liquer Laws ☐ 640 R.R. & Truck ☐ 650 Airthine Regs. ☐ 660 Occupational ☐ 640 Other ☐ LABOR ☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations ☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act ☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. ☐ Security Act	322 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influence I and Corrupt Organization s □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commod ties/Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matiers □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes			
Original D 2 Re	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute un	late Court	Reinstated or 5 Transf Reopened 5 Transf anothe (specif ng (Do not cite jurisdictiona	erred from	Appeal to D strict Judge from Magistrate Judgment			
	I prici acsemption of cause:	Criminates) A [
VIL REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A C UNDER F.R.C.P. 23		DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only i	f demanded in complaint:			
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(S) (See instructions): JUDO	GE		DOCKET NUMBER	V- V-00 (3710)			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	Soura	GNATURE OF ATTORN	EY OF RECORD					
	10UNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUDO	SE;			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

1	. Title o	f case (n	ame of first party on	each side only)	Cheste.	- T	ر کی آ	mn 5	, É	PANTICE.
	De	FEN	DEAT MINS.	S TURN PI	Ke AHD (Hes	Ter-	0.00		
2	. Catego	Category in which the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the civil cover sheet. (See local rule 40.1(a)(1)).								
	_	1.	160, 410, 470, R.	23, REGARDLES	S OF NATURE OF S	UIT.				
	X	II.	195, 368, 400, (4 740, 790, 791, 82	0, 441-444, 540, 0*, 830*, 840*, 85	550, 555, 625, 710, 72 0, 890, 892-894, 895,	20, 730, 950.	*Al: for	so complet patent, tra	e AO 120 o demark or	r AO 121 copyright cases
		fII.	110, 120, 130, 14 315, 320, 330, 34 380, 385, 450, 89	0, 345, 350, 355,	230, 240, 245, 290, 3 ³ 360, 362, 365, 370, 33	10, 71,				
	_	۱۷,	220, 422, 423, 436 690, 810, 861-865	0, 460, 510, 530, 6, 870, 871, 875, 9	610, 620, 630, 640, 65 900.	50, 660,	1	7 7	A	MAAF
		V.	150, 152, 153.			- 3				AVIA AM
3.	Title ar district	id numbe please ii	er, if any, of related on ndicate the title and	ases. (See loca number of the fi	rule 40.1(g)). If morest filed case in this c	e than o	ne prior	related ca	se has beer	ı filed in this
	MC	AD_	16C 200	2018:	20	<u>,</u>				
4.	Has a p	rior actio	on between the same	parties and bas	ed on the same clair			f in this co	urt?	-
						YES	\boxtimes	NO		
5.	Does th USC §2	e compli 403)	aint in this case ques	stion the constit	utionality of an act of	f congres	ss affect	ting the pu	blic interes	t? (See 28
	If so, is	the U.S.	A. or an officer, agen	at or employee o	the U.S. a party?	YES	X	МО		
						YES		NO	×	
6.	Is this c	ase requ	ired to be heard and	determined by a	a district court of thre	ee judge:	s pursu:	ant to title	28 USC §22	84?
						YES		NO		
7.	Do <u>all</u> or Massaci	f the part nusetts (ies in this action, ex "governmental agen	cluding governi cies"), residing	nental agencies of th in Massachusetts re	ne united side in th	states : ne same	and the Co	mmonweali - (See Loca	th of al Rule 40.1(d)).
						YES	\triangleright	МО		
		A.	If yes, in which div	ision do <u>all</u> of th	e non-governmenta	l parties	reside?			
			Eastern Division	Ø	Central Division	X		Weste	rn Division	₩
		В.	If no, in which divi agencies, residing	sion do the majo g in Massachuse	ority of the plaintiffs tts reside?	or the or	ily parti	es, excludi	ng governn	nental
			Eastern Division		Central Division			Weste	rn Division	
8.	If filing a submit a	Notice of separate	of Removal - are there e sheet identifying th	e any motions p le motions)	ending in the state c	ourt requ	iiring th	e attention	of this Cou	irt? (If yes,
	EASE TY		RINT hist	195		YES (M)	U yy	90 31)	ut	
ADO	RESS_	Sol	oiens Ho	me	HOLYOKO	30	38	947	5	
TEL	EPHONE	NO		<u> </u>	01046					
								Cov	rersheetlocal.	wpd - 10/17/02)